UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/599,072	09/19/2006	Kouji YOSHIKAWA	Q96695	3799	
				EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			LAO, MARIALOUISA		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20037		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/599,072	YOSHIKAWA, K	YOSHIKAWA, KOUJI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	M. Louisa Lao	1621					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence a	ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION 36(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 S	entember 2007	•					
· ·							
<u>,                                    </u>	,—						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims		•	·				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-14</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 4</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 4</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are objected to.	Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form F	PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.					
Attachment(s)	•						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	٠.				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/19/06</u> .	6)  Other:						

Art Unit: 1621

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (claims 1-4) in the reply filed on 9/10/07 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 5-14 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 9/10/07.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 6. Claims 1,3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Elliot et al. JCS Perkin Trans. I, 1974, pp2470-2474 (in IDS) in view of Hoffman et al. J.Org.Chem. Vol. 27, July 1962, pp. 2687-2689.
- 7. The instant claims are drawn to a method of making a (1-alkenyl)cyclopropane carboxylic ester represented by the formula (2), with substituents therein recited, comprising the decarbonylation of a (2-formyl-1-alkenyl)cyclopropane compound represented by formula (1) in the presence of a palladium catalyst.
- 8. Elliot et al. teach in p.2472 compounds of structure (1) and of structure (4); where structure (4) is a (2-formyl-1-alkenyl)cyclopropane.
- 9. The difference between the instant claims and Elliot et al. is the decarbonylation step. Hoffman et al. is relied upon to teach that at the time of Applicants' invention, decarbonylation in the presence of palladium catalyst was a prevalent practice (columns 1 bottom to column 2 top of p2687).
- 10. At the time of Applicants' invention, one of ordinary skill in the art looking for a method to make a (1-alkenyl)cyclopropane carboxylic ester represented by the instant formula (2), similarly represented by Elliot et al.'s structure (1) from (2-formyl-1-alkenyl)cyclopropane, would have found it *prima facie* obvious to use the palladium catalyzed decarbonylation taught by Hoffman et al..

Art Unit: 1621

An artisan of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use the palladium catalyzed decarbonylation taught by Hoffman et al. in a method to make a (1-alkenyl)cyclopropane carboxylic ester represented by Elliot et al.'s structure (1) from (2-formyl-1-alkenyl)cyclopropane, since the compounds are similarly represented by the instant formula (2) and the artisan would have reached a reasonable expectation of making the desired product.

The Supreme Court in KSR noted that if the actual application of the technique would have been beyond the skill of one of ordinary skill in the art, then the resulting invention would not have been obvious because one of ordinary skill could not have been expected to achieve it.

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 12. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 13. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art, made of record, is replete with methods of making (1-alkenyl)-formyl-cyclopropane carboxylic esters. However, the prior art neither teaches nor discloses the synthetic route of the instant process.

# Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MLouisa Lao whose telephone number is 571-272-9930. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays to Thursdays from 8:00am to 8:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on 571-272-0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/599,072

Art Unit: 1621

/ROSALYND KEYS/ PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1621

`mll11072007

MLouisa Lao

Examiner

Art Unit 1621

for YVONNE EYLER

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5

TC1600 GAU 1621